arXiv:1504.03227v4 [math.NT] 27 Jan 2016

NEW CONGRUENCES ON MULTIPLE HARMONIC SUMS AND BERNOULLI NUMBERS

LIUQUAN WANG

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ denote the set of positive integers which are prime to n. Let B_n be the n-th Bernoulli number. For any prime $p \ge 11$ and integer $r \ge 2$, we prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_6=p^r \\ l_1,\cdots,l_6\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2l_3l_4l_5l_6} \equiv -\frac{5!}{18}p^{r-1}B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^r}.$$

This extends a family of curious congruences. We also obtain other interesting congruences involving multiple harmonic sums and Bernoulli numbers.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the n-th Bernoulli number B_n is defined by the series

$$\frac{x}{e^x-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{B_n}{n!}x^n.$$

For example, $B_0=1, B_1=-\frac{1}{2}, B_2=\frac{1}{6}$ and $B_{2n+1}=0$ for all $n\ge 1$. There are many fascinating congruences related to some special sums and Bernoulli numbers. For instance, using partial sum of multiple zeta series, Zhao [10] proved that for any prime $p\ge 3$,

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=p \\ i,j,k>0}}\frac{1}{ijk}\equiv -2B_{p-3} \pmod{p}. \tag{1.1}$$

By using some combinatorial identities, Ji [2] gave a simple proof of this congruence. Zhou and Cai [12] gave a generalization by establishing a congruence involving arbitrary number of variables. Namely, they showed that

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_n=p, \\ l_1,\cdots,l_n>0}}\frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_n}\equiv\begin{cases} -(n-1)!B_{p-n} \pmod{p} & \text{if } 2\nmid n; \\ -\frac{n}{2(n+1)}n!B_{p-n-1}p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2|n, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $p\ge 5$ is a prime and $n\le p-2$ is a positive integer.

In 2014, by replacing prime p to prime power, the author and Cai [7] gave a new generalization of (1.1). Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ denote the set of positive integers which are prime to n. We proved that for any prime $p\ge 3$,

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=p^r \\ i,j,k\in\mathcal{P}_p}}\frac{1}{ijk}\equiv -2p^{r-1}B_{p-3} \pmod{p^r}. \tag{1.3}$$

In view of (1.2), it would be attractive to find some congruences similar to (1.3) by increasing the number of variables. For convenience, we define for $1\le m<n$ that

$$S_n^{(m)}(p^r)=\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_n=mp^r \\ l_i<p^r, l_i\in\mathcal{P}_p, 1\le i\le n}}\frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_n}.$$

Date: Oct 18, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A07, 11A41.

Key words and phrases. Congruences, Bernoulli numbers, multiple harmonic sums.

In particular, we also use $S_n(p^r)$ to represent $S_n^{(1)}(p^r)$ for convention.

Zhao [11] found an analogous congruence for $S_4(p^r)$. He proved that for any prime $p \geq 5$ and integer $r \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_4=p^r \\ l_1,\cdots,l_4\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2l_3l_4} \equiv -\frac{4!}{5}p^rB_{p-5} \pmod{p^{r+1}}. \tag{1.4}$$

Recently, the author [8] solved the case when there are five variables. We proved that for any prime $p > 5$ and integer $r \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_5=p^r \\ l_1,\cdots,l_5\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2l_3l_4l_5} \equiv -\frac{5!}{6}p^{r-1}B_{p-5} \pmod{p^r}. \tag{1.5}$$

For other related works, see [1]–[6] and [9].

Following their steps, the goal of this paper is to find some analogous result for $S_6(p^r)$. Firstly, we establish some congruences analogues to (1.2). These congruences are of independent interests themselves and will also be applied to give the modulo p^r determination of $S_6(p^r)$.

Theorem 1. *Let n be an even integer and $p > n+2$ be a prime. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=2p \\ l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_n} \equiv \frac{n!}{2}\sum_{\substack{a=2 \\ a \text{ odd}}}^{n-2} \frac{B_{p-a}B_{p-n+a}}{a(n-a)} \pmod{p}.$$

Theorem 2. *Let n be an even integer and $p > n+2$ be a prime. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=3p \\ l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_n} \equiv \frac{n!}{6}\sum_{\substack{a=2 \\ a \text{ odd}}}^{n-2} (2n-a+3)\frac{B_{p-a}B_{p-n+a}}{a(n-a)} \pmod{p}.$$

Secondly, utilizing these two congruences, we are able to solve the case of 6 variables. It is worthy mention that by using integer relation detecting tool PSLQ, Zhao [11] found that if there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that for any $r \geq 2$,

$$S_6(p^r) \equiv cp^rB_{p-7} \pmod{p^{r+1}}, \tag{1.6}$$

then both the numerator and the denominator of c must have at least 60 digits. Our result below shows that the congruence satisfied by $S_6(p^r)$ is different from (1.6).

Theorem 3. *Let $p \geq 11$ be a prime and $r \geq 2$ be an integer. We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_6=p^r \\ l_1,\cdots,l_6\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2l_3l_4l_5l_6} \equiv -\frac{5!}{18}p^{r-1}B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^r}.$$

As a by-product, we have

Theorem 4. *Let $p \geq 11$ be a prime and n be a positive integer. Suppose $p^r|n$ but $p^{r+1}\nmid n$ for some positive integer r.*
(i) If $r = 1$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_6=n \\ l_1,\cdots,l_6\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2l_3l_4l_5l_6} \equiv \frac{5!}{18}\cdot\Big(\big(\frac{n}{p}\big)^5 - \big(\frac{n}{p}\big)^3\Big)B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p}.$$

(ii) If $r \geq 2$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_6=n \\ l_1,\cdots,l_6\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2l_3l_4l_5l_6} \equiv -\frac{5!}{18}\cdot\frac{n}{p}B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^r}.$$

In particular, if $n = p^r$ $(r \geq 2)$, then part (ii) of Theorem 4 becomes Theorem 3.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we collect some ingredients which will be used to prove the theorems above. In Sec. 3 we will prove Theorems 1 and 2 by using some properties of the p-restricted multiple harmonic sums defined by

$$H_N(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{\substack{0<k_1<k_2<\cdots<k_n<N \\ k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n \in \mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{k_1^{\alpha_1} k_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots k_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

From these two theorems, we can determine $S_6^{(k)}(p)$ for $1 \leq k \leq 5$ modulo p. Then in Sec. 4 we establish the relation between $S_6^{(k)}(p^{r+1})$ and $S_6^{(k)}(p^r)$, from which Theorem 3 follows by induction on r. Finally, in Sec. 5 we give a rough predication of the general congruences about $R_n(p)$ and $S_n(p^r)$ for any positive integer n. We also point out the difficulties to find the general congruences using the current method.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and lemmas which will be fundamental to our proofs.

We define for $m \geq 1$ that

$$R_n^{(m)}(p^r) = \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=mp^r \\ l_i \in \mathcal{P}_p, 1 \leq i \leq n}} \frac{1}{l_1 l_2 \cdots l_n}.$$

The relation between $R_n^{(m)}(p)$ and $S_n^{(m)}(p)$ is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *For any integers m and n, we have*

$$S_n^{(m)}(p) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k R_n^{(m-k)}(p) \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. By Inclusion-Exclusion Principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{(m)}(p) &= \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=mp \\ l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n \in \mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1 l_2 \cdots l_n} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_k \leq n} \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=mp \\ l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n \in \mathcal{P}_p \\ l_{a_1},\cdots,l_{a_k}>p}} \frac{1}{l_1 l_2 \cdots l_n} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=(m-k)p \\ l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n \in \mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{(l_1+p)(l_2+p)\cdots(l_k+p) l_{k+1} \cdots l_n} \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=(m-k)p \\ l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n \in \mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1 l_2 \cdots l_n} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof. □

We also define

$$U_N(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{\substack{0<l_1,\cdots,l_n<N \\ l_i \neq l_j, \forall i \neq j, l_i \in \mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots l_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

There are some relations between H_N and U_N. For example, let S_n denote the symmetric group of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} H_N(\alpha_{\sigma(1)}, \alpha_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots, \alpha_{\sigma(n)}) = U_N(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n). \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n$ be positive integers, $r = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \le p - 3$, where p is a prime. For any positive integer b, we have*

$$U_{bp}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^n(n-1)!\frac{b^2r(r+1)}{2(r+2)}B_{p-r-2}p^2 \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } 2 \nmid r; \\ (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!\frac{br}{r+1}B_{p-r-1}p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2|r. \end{cases}$$

Proof. When $b = 1$, this has already been proved, see [12, Lemma 3]. In particular, if $b = n = 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{1 \le l < p} \frac{1}{l^\alpha} = U_p(\alpha) \equiv \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2(\alpha+2)}B_{p-\alpha-2}p^2 \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha; \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}B_{p-\alpha-1}p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2|\alpha. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

For all $k \ge 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{kp<l<(k+1)p} \frac{1}{l^\alpha} &= \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{(l+kp)^\alpha} \\ &\equiv \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha kp}{l} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2l^2}k^2p^2\right)\frac{1}{l^\alpha} \\ &\equiv U_p(\alpha) - \alpha kpU_p(\alpha+1) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2l^2}k^2p^2U_p(\alpha+2) \pmod{p^3}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2) we see that

$$\sum_{kp<l<(k+1)p} \frac{1}{l^\alpha} \equiv \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}+k\right)B_{p-\alpha-2}p^2 \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha; \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}B_{p-\alpha-1}p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2|\alpha. \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{1 \le l < bp, p \nmid l} \frac{1}{l^\alpha} \equiv \begin{cases} -\frac{b^2\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2(\alpha+2)}B_{p-\alpha-2}p^2 \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } 2 \nmid \alpha; \\ \frac{b\alpha}{\alpha+1}B_{p-\alpha-1}p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } 2|\alpha. \end{cases}$$

This proves the lemma for $n = 1$. Now assume the lemma is true when the number of variables is less than n. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{bp}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \le l_1, \cdots, l_{n-1} < bp \\ l_i \ne l_j, l_i \in \mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots l_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}} \left(\sum_{1 \le l_n < bp, l_n \in \mathcal{P}_p} \frac{1}{l_n^{\alpha_n}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l_i^{\alpha_n}} \right) \\ &\equiv U_{bp}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}) \left(\sum_{1 \le l_n < bp, l_n \in \mathcal{P}_p} \frac{1}{l_n^{\alpha_n}} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} U_{bp}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i + \alpha_n, \alpha_{i+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption above, we have

$$U_{bp}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}) \left(\sum_{1 \le l_n < bp, l_n \in \mathcal{P}_p} \frac{1}{l_n^{\alpha_n}} \right) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p^3}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid r; \\ 0 \pmod{p^2}, & \text{if } 2|r. \end{cases}$$

If r is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{bp}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) &\equiv -(n-1)U_{bp}(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n) \\ &\equiv -(n-1)(-1)^{n-1}(n-2)!\frac{b^2r(r+1)}{2(r+2)}p^2B_{p-r-2} \\ &\equiv (-1)^n(n-1)!\frac{b^2r(r+1)}{2(r+2)}p^2B_{p-r-2} \pmod{p^3}. \end{aligned}$$

If r is even, similarly we can deduce

$$U_{bp}(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n)\equiv(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!\frac{br}{r+1}pB_{p-r-1}\pmod{p^2}.$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is complete by induction on n. □

For fixed prime p, let $C_a^{(m)}$ $(1\le a,m\le 5)$ denote the number of integer solutions $(x_1,\dots,x_6)$ of the equation

$$x_1+\cdots+x_6=mp-a,\quad 0\le x_i<p,\quad \forall i=1,\cdots,6.$$

We need the following facts.

Lemma 3. *For any prime p, we have*
(i) $C_a^{(m)}\equiv 0\pmod p$*;*
(ii) $C_1^{(1)}+C_5^{(1)}\equiv\frac{2}{5}p\pmod{p^2}$, $C_2^{(1)}+C_4^{(1)}\equiv-\frac{1}{10}p\pmod{p^2}$, $C_3^{(1)}\equiv\frac{1}{30}p\pmod{p^2}$*;*
(iii) $C_1^{(2)}+C_5^{(2)}\equiv-\frac{8}{5}p\pmod{p^2}$, $C_2^{(2)}+C_4^{(2)}\equiv\frac{2}{5}p\pmod{p^2}$, $C_3^{(2)}\equiv-\frac{2}{15}p\pmod{p^2}$;
(iv) $C_1^{(3)}+C_5^{(3)}\equiv\frac{12}{5}p\pmod{p^2}$, $C_2^{(3)}+C_4^{(3)}\equiv-\frac{3}{5}p\pmod{p^2}$, $C_3^{(3)}\equiv\frac{1}{5}p\pmod{p^2}$.

Proof. By Inclusion-Exclusion Principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned}C_a^{(m)} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k-1}\#\Big\{(x_1,\cdots,x_6)\in\mathbb{N}^6\mid x_1+\cdots+x_6=mp-a,\exists x_{i_1},\cdots,x_{i_{k-1}}\ge p\Big\}\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k-1}\#\Big\{(x_1,\cdots,x_6)\in\mathbb{N}^6\,|x_1+\cdots+x_6=(m-k+1)p-a\Big\}\binom{6}{k-1}\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k-1}\binom{(m-k+1)p-a+5}{5}\binom{6}{k-1}\end{aligned}$$

Let $X_a(u)=\binom{up-a+5}{5}$. It follows that

$$C_a^{(m)}=\sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k-1}X_a(m-k+1)\binom{6}{k-1}\tag{2.3}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&C_a^{(1)}=X_a(1),\quad C_a^{(2)}=X_a(2)-6X_a(1),\\&C_a^{(3)}=X_a(3)-6X_a(2)+15X_a(1).\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

Since $1\le a\le 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}X_a(u)&=\frac{(up-a+5)(up-a+4)(up-a+3)(up-a+2)(up-a+1)}{5!}\\&\equiv(-1)^{(a-1)}\frac{(a-1)!(5-a)!}{5!}up\pmod{p^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

Hence from (2.3) we see that $C_a^{(m)}\equiv 0\pmod p$ and (i) is proved.

Furthermore, from (2.5) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&X_1(u)+X_5(u)\equiv\frac{2}{5}up\pmod{p^2},\quad X_3(u)\equiv\frac{1}{30}up\pmod{p^2}\quad\text{and}\\&X_2(u)+X_4(u)\equiv-\frac{1}{10}up\pmod{p^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

From (2.4) and (2.6), (ii)–(iv) follows by simple calculations. □

3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Let $u_i = l_1 + \cdots + l_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots n-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n^{(m)}(p) &= \frac{1}{mp} \sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=mp \\ l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n}{l_1l_2\cdots l_n} \\
&= \frac{n}{mp} \sum_{\substack{u_{n-1}<mp \\ l_1,\cdots,l_{n-1},u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_{n-1}} \\
&= \frac{n}{mp} \sum_{\substack{u_{n-1}<mp \\ l_1,\cdots,l_{n-1},u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_{n-1}}{l_1l_2\cdots l_{n-1}u_{n-1}} \\
&= \frac{n(n-1)}{mp} \sum_{\substack{u_{n-2}<u_{n-1}<mp \\ l_1,\cdots,l_{n-2},u_{n-1}-u_{n-2},u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_{n-2}u_{n-1}} = \cdots \\
&= \frac{n!}{mp} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<mp \\ u_1,u_2-u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1}-u_{n-2},u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}}. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $m = 2$ in (3.1). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n^{(2)}(p) &= \frac{n!}{2p}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<2p \\ u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}} - \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<2p \\ u_{a+1}-u_a=p,u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{a=2}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<2p \\ u_a=p,u_j\in\mathcal{P}_p,\forall j\neq a}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}} \Bigg) \qquad (3.2) \\
&= T_1 - T_2 + T_3,
\end{aligned}$$

here we denote the three terms in the right hand side of (3.2) by T_1, T_2 and T_3 respectively. We will deal with these terms one by one.

For the first term, since $n-1$ is odd, by (2.1) and Lemma 2 we deduce that

$$T_1 = \frac{n!}{2p} H_{2p}(\{1\}^{n-1}) = \frac{n}{2p} U_{2p}(\{1\}^{n-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod p. \qquad (3.3)$$

For the second term, according to (2.1) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<2p \\ u_{a+1}-u_a=p}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}} \quad \text{(replace } u_{k+1} \text{ by } u_k+p \text{ for } k\geq a) \\
= &\sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \sum_{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-2}<p} \frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_a(u_a+p)(u_{a+1}+p)\cdots(u_{n-2}+p)} \\
\equiv &\sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \sum_{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-2}<p} \frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_a^2u_{a+1}\cdots u_{n-2}} \Big(1 - \frac{p}{u_a} - \frac{p}{u_{a+1}} - \cdots - \frac{p}{u_{n-2}}\Big) \\
\equiv &\sum_{a=1}^{n-2} H_p(\{1\}^{a-1},2,\{1\}^{n-2-a}) - p\sum_{a=1}^{n-2} H_p(\{1\}^{a-1},3,\{1\}^{n-2-a}) \\
&-p \sum_{1\leq a<b\leq n-2} H_p(\{1\}^{a-1},2,\{1\}^{b-a-1},2,\{1\}^{n-2-b}) \\
\equiv &\frac{n-2}{(n-2)!} U_p(2,\{1\}^{n-3}) - p\cdot\frac{n-2}{(n-2)!} U_p(3,\{1\}^{n-3}) - p\frac{\binom{n-2}{2}}{(n-2)!} U_p(2,2,\{1\}^{n-4})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv \quad 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$T_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \tag{3.4}$$

Now we calculate the third term. For $2 \le a \le n-2$, from (2.1) and Lemma 2 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<2p\\ u_a=p,u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}} \quad \text{(replace } u_k \text{ by } x_{k-a}+p \text{ for } k>a\text{)}\\
&= \frac{1}{p}\left(\sum_{u_1<\cdots<u_{a-1}<p} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{a-1}}\right)\left(\sum_{x_1<\cdots<x_{n-1-a}<p} \frac{1}{(x_1+p)\cdots(x_{n-1-a}+p)}\right)\\
&\equiv \frac{1}{p}H_p(\{1\}^{a-1}) \sum_{x_1<\cdots<x_{n-1-a}<p} \frac{1}{x_1\cdots x_{n-1-a}}\Big(1-\frac{p}{x_1}-\cdots-\frac{p}{x_{n-1-a}}\Big)\\
&\equiv \frac{1}{p}H_p(\{1\}^{a-1})\Big(H_p(\{1\}^{n-1-a}) - p\cdot\frac{n-1-a}{(n-1-a)!}U_p(2,\{1\}^{n-2-a})\Big)\\
&\equiv \frac{1}{p}\cdot\frac{U_p(\{1\}^{a-1})}{(a-1)!}\cdot\frac{U_p(\{1\}^{n-1-a})}{(n-1-a)!}\\
&\equiv \begin{cases} \frac{B_{p-a}B_{p-n+a}}{a(n-a)}p & \text{if } a \text{ is odd}\\ 0 & \text{if } a \text{ is even}\end{cases} \pmod{p^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$T_3 \equiv \frac{n!}{2p}\sum_{\substack{a=2\\ a \text{ odd}}}^{n-2} \frac{B_{p-a}B_{p-n+a}}{a(n-a)}p \equiv \frac{n!}{2}\sum_{\substack{a=2\\ a \text{ odd}}}^{n-2} \frac{B_{p-a}B_{p-n+a}}{a(n-a)} \pmod{p}. \tag{3.5}$$

Substituting (3.3)–(3.5) into (3.2), we complete the proof of Theorem 1. ☐

Now we are able to determine $S_n^{(2)}(p)$ modulo p.

Corollary 1. *Let n be an even integer and $p > n+2$ be a prime. We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=2p\\ l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n<p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_n} \equiv \frac{n!}{2}\sum_{\substack{a=2\\ a\,\text{odd}}}^{n-2} \frac{B_{p-a}B_{p-n+a}}{a(n-a)} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. From (1.2) we know $R_n^{(1)}(p) \equiv S_n^{(1)}(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By Lemma 1, we obtain

$$S_n^{(2)}(p) \equiv R_n^{(2)}(p) - nR_n^{(1)}(p) \equiv R_n^{(2)}(p) \pmod{p}.$$

The corollary then follows from Theorem 1. ☐

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $m = 3$ in (3.1). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n^{(3)}(p) = \frac{n!}{3p}\Bigg(&\sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p\\ u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p\\ u_2-u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1}-u_{n-2}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-4}\sum_{j=i+2}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{1\le u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p\\ u_i=p,u_j=2p,u_k\in\mathcal{P}_p,\forall k\neq i,j\\ u_2-u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1}-u_{n-2}\in\mathcal{P}_p}}\\
&+\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p,u_j=p\\ u_2-u_2,\cdots,u_{n-1}-u_{n-2}\in\mathcal{P}_p\\ u_k\in\mathcal{P}_p\,(k\neq j)}} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-2}<3p,u_j=2p\\ u_2-u_2,\cdots,u_{n-1}-u_{n-2}\in\mathcal{P}_p\\ u_k\in\mathcal{P}_p\,(k\neq j)}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-2}}\Bigg) \qquad (3.6)\\
= \frac{n!}{3p}\Big(&T_1+T_2+T_3+T_4\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

here we denote the four sums in the bracket by T_1, T_2, T_3 and T_4, respectively.

For the first sum in (3.6), we have

$$T_1 = \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p \\ u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2}\sum_{a=1}^{2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p \\ u_{i+1}-u_i=ap \\ u_1,\cdots,u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} + \sum_{1\le i<j\le n-2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p \\ u_{i+1}-u_i=u_{j+1}-u_j=p \\ u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}} \tag{3.7}$$

For $a=1$ or 2, by (2.1) and Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p \\ u_{i+1}-u_i=ap \\ u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}} \quad (\text{replace } u_k \text{ by } u_{k-1}+ap \text{ for } k>i) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-2}<(3-a)p \\ u_1,\cdots,u_{n-2}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_i(u_i+ap)(u_{i+1}+ap)\cdots(u_{n-2}+ap)} \\
\equiv & \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-2}<(3-a)p \\ u_1,\cdots,u_{n-2}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_{i-1}u_i^2u_{i+1}\cdots u_{n-2}}\Big(1-\frac{ap}{u_i}-\cdots-\frac{ap}{u_{n-2}}\Big) \\
\equiv & \frac{n-2}{(n-2)!}U_{(3-a)p}(2,\{1\}^{n-3}) - ap\frac{(n-2)U_{(3-a)p}(3,\{1\}^{n-3})}{(n-2)!} \\
& -ap\frac{\binom{n-2}{2}}{(n-2)!}U_{(3-a)p}(2,2,\{1\}^{n-4}) \\
\equiv & \ 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

According to $j=i+1$ or not, we split the third sum in (3.7) into two parts. For the case $j\neq i+1$, replacing u_k by $u_{k-1}+p$ for $i<k\le j$ or $u_{k-2}+2p$ for $k>j$, applying (2.1) and Lemma 2, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le n-2 \\ j\neq i+1}} \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p \\ u_{i+1}-u_i=u_{j+1}-u_j=p}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}} \\
= & \sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le n-2 \\ j\neq i+1}} \sum_{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-3}<p} \frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_i(u_i+p)\cdots(u_{j-1}+p)(u_{j-1}+2p)\cdots(u_{n-3}+2p)} \\
& (\text{replace } j \text{ by } j+1) \\
= & \sum_{1\le i<j\le n-3} \sum_{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-3}<p} \frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_i(u_i+p)\cdots(u_j+p)(u_j+2p)\cdots(u_{n-3}+2p)} \\
\equiv & \sum_{1\le i<j\le n-3} \sum_{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-3}<p} \frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_{i-1}u_i^2u_{i+1}\cdots u_{j-1}u_j^2u_{j+1}\cdots u_{n-3}} \\
& \cdot\Big(1-\frac{p}{u_i}-\cdots-\frac{p}{u_j}-\frac{2p}{u_j}-\cdots-\frac{2p}{u_{n-3}}\Big) \\
\equiv & \frac{\binom{n-3}{2}}{(n-3)!}U_p(2,2,\{1\}^{n-5}) - p\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-3} H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},3,\{1\}^{j-i-1},2,\{1\}^{n-3-j}) \\
& -p\sum_{1\le i<k<j\le n-3} H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},2,\{1\}^{k-i-1},2,\{1\}^{j-k-1},2,\{1\}^{n-j-3}) \\
& -3p\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-3} H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},2,\{1\}^{j-1-i},3,\{1\}^{n-3-j})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2p\sum_{1\le i<j<k\le n-3} H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},2,\{1\}^{j-1-i},2,\{1\}^{k-j-1},2,\{1\}^{n-3-k})\\
\equiv\ &-p\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-3}\Big(H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},3,\{1\}^{j-i-1},2,\{1\}^{n-3-j})\\
&+3H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},2,\{1\}^{j-i-1},3,\{1\}^{n-3-j})\Big) \pmod{p^2},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

where the last congruence equality follows from the facts that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\le i<j<k\le n-3} H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},2,\{1\}^{j-1-i},2,\{1\}^{k-j-1},2,\{1\}^{n-3-k})\\
&=\frac{\binom{n-3}{3}}{(n-3)!}U_p(2,2,\{1\}^{n-5})\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the case $j=i+1$, replacing u_k by $u_{k-1}+p$ for $k=i+1$ or $u_{k-2}+2p$ for $k>i+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{1\le i<j\le n-2\\ j=i+1}}\ \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p\\ u_{i+1}-u_i=u_{j+1}-u_j=p}} \frac{1}{u_1u_2\cdots u_{n-1}}\\
=\ &\sum_{1\le i\le n-3}\ \sum_{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-3}<p}\frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_i(u_i+p)(u_i+2p)(u_{i+1}+2p)\cdots(u_{n-3}+2p)}\\
\equiv\ &\sum_{1\le i\le n-3}\ \sum_{u_1<\cdots<u_{n-3}<p}\frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_i^3u_{i+1}\cdots u_{n-3}}\Big(1-\frac{3p}{u_i}-\frac{2p}{u_{i+1}}-\cdots-\frac{2p}{u_{n-3}}\Big)\\
\equiv\ &\frac{U_p(3,\{1\}^{n-4})}{(n-3)!}-3p\frac{U_p(4,\{1\}^{n-4})}{(n-3)!}-2p\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-3}H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},3,\{1\}^{j-1-i},2,\{1\}^{n-3-j})\\
\equiv\ &-2p\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-3}H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},3,\{1\}^{j-1-i},2,\{1\}^{n-3-j}) \pmod{p^2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Substituting (3.8)–(3.10) into (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &\equiv \frac{U_{3p}(\{1\}^{n-1})}{(n-1)!}-3p\sum_{1\le i<j\le n-3}\Big(H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},3,\{1\}^{j-i-1},2,\{1\}^{n-3-j})\\
&\quad + H_p(\{1\}^{i-1},2,\{1\}^{j-i-1},3,\{1\}^{n-3-j})\Big)\\
&\equiv -3p\frac{2\binom{n-3}{2}}{(n-3)!}U_p(2,3,\{1\}^{n-5})\\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

For the second sum in (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2\ &=\ \frac{1}{2p^2}\sum_{i=2}^{n-4}\sum_{j=i+2}^{n-2}\ \sum_{\substack{u_1<\cdots<u_{i-1}<p\\ <u_{i+1}<\cdots<u_{j-1}<2p\\ <u_{j+1}<\cdots<u_{n-1}<3p}}\frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}\cdots u_{j-1}u_{j+1}\cdots u_{n-1}}\\
&=\ \frac{1}{2p^2}\sum_{i=2}^{n-4}\sum_{j=i+2}^{n-2}H_p(\{1\}^{i-1})\Big(\sum_{x_1<\cdots<x_{j-1-i}<p}\frac{1}{(p+x_1)\cdots(p+x_{j-1-i})}\Big)\\
&\quad\cdot\Big(\sum_{y_1<\cdots<y_{n-1-j}<p}\frac{1}{(2p+y_1)\cdots(2p+y_{n-1-j})}\Big)\\
&\equiv\ \frac{1}{2p^2}\sum_{i=2}^{n-4}\sum_{j=i+2}^{n-2}H_p(\{1\}^{i-1})\sum_{x_1<\cdots<x_{j-1-i}<p}\frac{1}{x_1\cdots x_{j-1-i}}\Big(1-\frac{p}{x_1}-\cdots-\frac{p}{x_{j-1-i}}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\cdot \sum_{y_1<\cdots<y_{n-1-j}<p} \frac{1}{y_1\cdots y_{n-1-j}}\Big(1-\frac{2p}{y_1}-\cdots-\frac{2p}{y_{n-1-j}}\Big) \\
\equiv\ & \frac{1}{2p^2}\sum_{i=2}^{n-4}\sum_{j=i+2}^{n-2} H_p(\{1\}^{i-1})\left(H_p(\{1\}^{j-1-i})-p\frac{U_p(2,\{1\}^{j-2-i})}{(j-2-i)!}\right) \\
&\cdot\left(H_p(\{1\}^{n-1-j})-2p\frac{U_p(2,\{1\}^{n-2-j})}{(n-2-j)!}\right) \\
\equiv\ & \frac{1}{2p^2}\sum_{i=2}^{n-4}\sum_{j=i+2}^{n-2} H_p(\{1\}^{i-1})H_p(\{1\}^{j-1-i})H_p(\{1\}^{n-1-j}) \pmod{p^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(i-1)+(j-1-i)+(n-1-j)=n-3$ is odd, so at least one of $i-1$, $j-1-i$ and $n-1-j$ must be odd. Hence we deduce that

$$T_2\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \tag{3.12}$$

For the third sum in (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_3=\frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=2}^{n-2}&\left(\sum_{u_1<\cdots<u_{j-1}<p}\frac{1}{u_1\cdots u_{j-1}}\right) \\
&\cdot\left(\sum_{\substack{x_1<\cdots<x_{n-1-j}<2p \\ x_2-x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1-j}-x_{n-2-j}\in\mathcal{P}_p \\ x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_{n-1-j}\in\mathcal{P}_p}}\frac{1}{(p+x_1)\cdots(p+x_{n-1-j})}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.13}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{x_1<\cdots<x_{n-1-j}<2p \\ x_2-x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1-j}-x_{n-2-j}\in\mathcal{P}_p \\ x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_{n-1-j}\in\mathcal{P}_p}}\frac{1}{(p+x_1)\cdots(p+x_{n-1-j})} \\
=\ & \sum_{\substack{x_1<\cdots<x_{n-1-j}<2p \\ x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1-j}\in\mathcal{P}_p}} - \sum_{a=1}^{n-2-j}\sum_{\substack{x_1<\cdots<x_{n-1-j}<2p \\ x_{a+1}-x_a=p \\ x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1-j}\in\mathcal{P}_p}}\frac{1}{(p+x_1)\cdots(p+x_{n-1-j})} \\
\equiv\ & \sum_{\substack{x_1<\cdots<x_{n-1-j}<2p \\ x_1,\cdots,x_{n-1-j}\in\mathcal{P}_p}}\frac{1}{x_1\cdots x_{n-1-j}}\Big(1-\frac{p}{x_1}-\cdots-\frac{p}{x_{n-1-j}}\Big) \\
&-\sum_{a=1}^{n-2-j}\sum_{x_1<\cdots<x_{n-2-j}<p}\frac{1}{x_1\cdots x_a(x_a+p)(x_{a+1}+p)\cdots(x_{n-2-j}+p)} \\
\equiv\ & H_{2p}(\{1\}^{n-1-j})-\frac{p}{(n-2-j)!}U_{2p}(2,\{1\}^{n-2-j}) \\
&-\sum_{a=1}^{n-2-j}\sum_{x_1<\cdots<x_{n-2-j}<p}\frac{1}{x_1\cdots x_{a-1}x_a^2x_{a+1}\cdots x_{n-2-j}}\Big(1-\frac{p}{x_a}-\cdots-\frac{p}{x_{n-2-j}}\Big) \\
\equiv\ & H_{2p}(\{1\}^{n-1-j})-\sum_{a=1}^{n-2-j}\Big(H_p(\{1\}^{a-1},2,\{1\}^{n-2-j-a})-pH_p(\{1\}^{a-1},3,\{1\}^{n-2-j-a}) \\
&-p\sum_{b=a+1}^{n-2-j}H_p(\{1\}^{a-1},2,\{1\}^{b-a-1},2,\{1\}^{n-2-j-b})\Big) \\
\equiv\ & \frac{U_{2p}(\{1\}^{n-1-j})}{(n-1-j)!}-\frac{U_p(2,\{1\}^{n-3-j})}{(n-3-j)!}+p\cdot\frac{U_p(3,\{1\}^{n-j-3})}{(n-3-j)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+p\cdot\frac{\binom{n-2-j}{2}}{(n-2-j)!}U_p(2,2,\{1\}^{n-4-j})$$

$$\equiv \frac{U_{2p}(\{1\}^{n-1-j})}{(n-1-j)!}-\frac{U_p(2,\{1\}^{n-3-j})}{(n-3-j)!} \pmod{p^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Substituting (3.14) into (3.13), we obtain that

$$T_3 \equiv \sum_{\substack{j=2\\ j \text{ odd}}}^{n-2}(n+1-j)\frac{B_{p-j}B_{p-n+j}}{j(n-j)}p \pmod{p^2}. \tag{3.15}$$

In the same way, we can show that

$$T_4 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{j=2\\ j \text{ odd}}}^{n-2}(j+1)\frac{B_{p-j}B_{p-n+j}}{j(n-j)}p \pmod{p^2}. \tag{3.16}$$

Finally, substituting (3.11), (3.12), (3.15) and (3.16) into (3.6), we complete the proof of Theorem 2. □

Similar to the proof of Corollary 1, we can give the determination of $S_n^{(3)}(p)$ modulo p.

Corollary 2. *Let $n > 4$ be an even integer and $p > n$ be a prime. We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_n=3p\\ l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n<p}}\frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_n} \equiv -\frac{n!}{6}\sum_{\substack{a=2\\ a\,\text{odd}}}^{n-2}(n+a-3)\frac{B_{p-a}B_{p-n+a}}{a(n-a)} \pmod{p}.$$

4. Proofs of Theorems 3 and 4

Proof of Theorem 3. By (1.2), Corollaries 1 and 2, we obtain

$$S_6^{(1)}(p)\equiv 0 \pmod{p},\quad S_6^{(2)}(p)\equiv 40B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p},\quad S_6^{(3)}(p)\equiv -80B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p}. \tag{4.1}$$

From [8, Lemma 1(i)], for any $r\geq 1$ we have

$$S_6^{(1)}(p^r)\equiv S_6^{(5)}(p^r) \pmod{p^r},\quad S_6^{(2)}(p^r)\equiv S_6^{(4)}(p^r) \pmod{p^r}. \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore we have

$$S_6^{(4)}(p)\equiv 40B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p},\quad S_6^{(5)}(p)\equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \tag{4.3}$$

Suppose $1\leq m\leq 5$ and $r\geq 1$. For any 6-tuple $(l_1,\cdots,l_6)$ of integers satisfying

$$l_1+\cdots+l_6=mp^{r+1},\quad 1\leq l_i<p^{r+1},\quad l_i\in\mathcal{P}_p,\quad 1\leq i\leq 6,$$

we rewrite them as

$$l_i=x_ip^r+y_i,\quad 0\leq x_i<p,\quad 1\leq y_i<p^r,\quad y_i\in\mathcal{P}_p,\quad 1\leq i\leq 6.$$

Since

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{6}x_i)p^r+\sum_{i=1}^{6}y_i=mp^{r+1},$$

we know there exists $1\leq a\leq 5$ such that

$$\begin{cases} x_1+\cdots+x_6=mp-a\\ y_1+\cdots+y_6=ap^r \end{cases}.$$

By Lemma 3, we have $C_a^{(m)}\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $1\leq a\leq 5$. Hence for $1\leq j\leq 6$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1+\cdots+x_6=mp-a\\ 0\leq x_i<p,1\leq i\leq 6}}x_j=\frac{1}{6}\sum_{\substack{x_1+\cdots+x_6=mp-a\\ 0\leq x_i<p,1\leq i\leq 6}}(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_6)=\frac{mp-a}{6}C_a^{(m)}\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_6^{(m)}(p^{r+1}) &= \sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_6=mp^{r+1}\\ l_i\in\mathcal{P}_p, l_i<p^{r+1}}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_6} \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{5} \sum_{\substack{x_1+\cdots+x_6=mp-a\\ 0\le x_i<p}} \sum_{\substack{y_1+\cdots+y_6=ap^r\\ y_i\in\mathcal{P}_p, y_i<p^r}} \frac{1}{(x_1p^r+y_1)\cdots(x_6p^r+y_6)} \\ &\equiv \sum_{a=1}^{5} \sum_{\substack{x_1+\cdots+x_6=mp-a\\ 0\le x_i<p}} \sum_{\substack{y_1+\cdots+y_6=ap^r\\ y_i\in\mathcal{P}_p,\, y_i<p^r}} \left(1-\frac{x_1}{y_1}p^r-\cdots-\frac{x_6}{y_6}p^r\right)\frac{1}{y_1\cdots y_6} \\ &\equiv C_1^{(m)}S_6^{(1)}(p^r)+C_2^{(m)}S_6^{(2)}(p^r)+C_3^{(m)}S_6^{(3)}(p^r) \\ &\quad +C_4^{(m)}S_6^{(4)}(p^r)+C_5^{(m)}S_6^{(5)}(p^r) \pmod{p^{r+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_a^{(m)} \equiv 0 \pmod p$, from (4.2) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S_6^{(m)}(p^{r+1}) \equiv (C_1^{(m)}+C_5^{(m)})S_6^{(1)}(p^r)+(C_2^{(m)}+C_4^{(m)})S_6^{(2)}(p^r) \\ +C_3^{(m)}S_6^{(3)}(p^r) \pmod{p^{r+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Let $r=1$ in (4.4). From (4.1), (4.3) and Lemma 3 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S_6^{(1)}(p^2) &\equiv \frac{2p}{5}S_6^{(1)}(p)-\frac{p}{10}S_6^{(2)}(p)+\frac{p}{30}S_6^{(3)}(p) \equiv -\frac{20}{3}pB_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^2}, \\ S_6^{(2)}(p^2) &\equiv -\frac{8p}{5}S_6^{(1)}(p)+\frac{2p}{5}S_6^{(2)}(p)-\frac{2p}{15}S_6^{(3)}(p) \equiv \frac{80}{3}pB_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^2}, \\ S_6^{(3)}(p^2) &\equiv \frac{12p}{5}S_6^{(1)}(p)-\frac{3p}{5}S_6^{(2)}(p)+\frac{p}{5}S_6^{(3)}(p) \equiv -40pB_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Now suppose for some $r\ge 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_6^{(1)}(p^r) &\equiv -\frac{20}{3}p^{r-1}B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^r}, \\ S_6^{(2)}(p^r) &\equiv \frac{80}{3}p^{r-1}B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^r}, \\ S_6^{(3)}(p^r) &\equiv -40p^{r-1}B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^r}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.4) and Lemma 3 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S_6^{(1)}(p^{r+1}) &\equiv \frac{2p}{5}S_6^{(1)}(p^r)-\frac{p}{10}S_6^{(2)}(p^r)+\frac{p}{30}S_6^{(3)}(p^r) \equiv -\frac{20}{3}p^rB_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^{r+1}}, \\ S_6^{(2)}(p^{r+1}) &\equiv -\frac{8p}{5}S_6^{(1)}(p^r)+\frac{2p}{5}S_6^{(2)}(p^r)-\frac{2p}{15}S_6^{(3)}(p^r) \equiv \frac{80}{3}p^rB_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^{r+1}}, \\ S_6^{(3)}(p^{r+1}) &\equiv \frac{12p}{5}S_6^{(1)}(p^r)-\frac{3p}{5}S_6^{(2)}(p^r)+\frac{p}{5}S_6^{(3)}(p^r) \equiv -40p^rB_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^{r+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction on r, we complete our proof. □

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $n=mp^r$, where p does not divide m. For any 6-tuple $(l_1,\cdots,l_6)$ of integers satisfying $l_1+\cdots+l_6=n$, $l_i\in\mathcal{P}_p$, $1\le i\le 6$, we rewrite them as

$$l_i=x_ip^r+y_i, \quad x_i\ge 0, \quad 1\le y_i<p^r, \quad y_i\in\mathcal{P}_p, \quad 1\le i\le 6.$$

Since

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{6}x_i)p^r+\sum_{i=1}^{6}y_i=mp^r,$$

we know there exists $1\le a\le 5$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1+\cdots+x_6=m-a \\ y_1+\cdots+y_6=ap^r \end{array} \right. .$$

For $1 \le a \le 5$, the equation $x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4+x_5+x_6 = m-a$ has $\binom{m-a+5}{5}$ solutions $(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5,x_6)$ of nonnegative integers. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{l_1+\cdots+l_6=mp^r\\ l_1,\cdots,l_6\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2\cdots l_6}\\
&=\sum_{a=1}^{5}\sum_{\substack{x_1+\cdots+x_6=m-a\\ x_i\ge 0}}\sum_{\substack{y_1+\cdots+y_6=ap^r\\ y_i\in\mathcal{P}_p, y_i<p^r}} \frac{1}{(x_1p^r+y_1)\cdots(x_6p^r+y_6)} \qquad (4.7)\\
&\equiv \sum_{a=1}^{5}\binom{m-a+5}{5}S_6^{(a)}(p^r) \pmod{p^r}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to $r=1$ or $r\ge 2$, we split our proof into two cases.

(i) If $r=1$, then from (4.1), (4.3), and (4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_6=n\\ l_1,\cdots,l_6\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2l_3l_4l_5l_6}\\
&\equiv \left(\binom{m+4}{5}+\binom{m}{5}\right)S_6^{(1)}(p)+\left(\binom{m+3}{5}+\binom{m+1}{5}\right)S_6^{(2)}(p)\\
&\quad+\binom{m+2}{5}S_6^{(3)}(p)\\
&\equiv \frac{20}{3}(m^3-m)B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $m=\frac{n}{p}$, we complete the proof of (i).

(ii) If $r\ge 2$, since we have already proved that (4.6) is true for all $r\ge 2$, we deduce that $S_6^{(2)}(p^r)\equiv -4S_6^{(1)}(p^r) \pmod{p^r}$ and $S_6^{(3)}(p^r)\equiv 6S_6^{(1)}(p^r) \pmod{p^r}$. Again by (4.2), we have

$$S_6^{(5)}(p^r)\equiv S_6^{(1)}(p^r) \pmod{p^r}, \quad S_6^{(4)}(p^r)\equiv S_6^{(2)}(p^r)\equiv -4S_6^{(1)}(p^r) \pmod{p^r}.$$

Hence from (4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{l_1+l_2+\cdots+l_6=n\\ l_1,\cdots,l_6\in\mathcal{P}_p}} \frac{1}{l_1l_2l_3l_4l_5l_6}\\
&\equiv \left(\binom{m+4}{5}+\binom{m}{5}-4\binom{m+3}{5}-4\binom{m+1}{5}+6\binom{m+2}{5}\right)S_6^{(1)}(p^r)\\
&\equiv mS_6^{(1)}(p^r) \pmod{p^r}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3, we have $S_6^{(1)}(p^r)\equiv -\frac{5!}{18}p^{r-1}B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p^r}$. This completes the proof of (ii). □

5. Concluding Remarks

As some examples for Theorems 1 and 2, for any prime $p>10$ we have

$$\begin{gathered}
R_4^{(2)}(p)\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad R_4^{3}(p)\equiv 0 \pmod{p},\\
R_6^{(2)}(p)\equiv \frac{6!}{18}B_{p-3}^2 \pmod{p}, \quad R_6^{(3)}(p)\equiv \frac{2\cdot 6!}{9}B_{p-3}B_{p-5} \pmod{p},\\
R_8^{(2)}(p)\equiv \frac{8!}{15}B_{p-3}B_{p-5} \pmod{p}, \quad R_8^{(3)}(p)\equiv \frac{8!}{3}B_{p-3}B_{p-5} \pmod{p},\\
R_{10}^{(2)}(p)\equiv \frac{10!}{1050}\big(50B_{p-3}B_{p-7}+21B_{p-5}^2\big) \pmod{p},\\
R_{10}^{(3)}(p)\equiv \frac{10!}{175}\big(50B_{p-3}B_{p-7}+21B_{p-5}^2\big) \pmod{p}.
\end{gathered}$$

If we wan to apply the method in [8] and the current paper to find the modulo p^r determination for $S_n(p^r)$, the key step is to find the modulo p determination of $S_n^{(m)}(p)$ for $1 \le m < n$. Since $S_n^{(1)}(p)$ has already been figured out by (1.2), we only need to consider the case $m \ge 2$. Equivalently, Lemma 1 tells us that we only need to determine $R_n^{(m)}(p)$ modulo p for $m \ge 2$. However, as m increases, the computation becomes much more complicated. This is the major obstacle in solving the cases for large number of variables. Nevertheless, from the process of determining $R_n^{(2)}(p)$ and $R_n^{(3)}(p)$ presented in this paper, we believe that for any integers $n \ge 3$ and $1 \le m < n$, there exists some rational numbers $c_{a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_k}$ and $d_{a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_k}$ such that for any prime $p > n+2$ and $r \ge 2$, we have

$$R_n^{(m)}(p) \equiv \sum c_{a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_k} B_{p-a_1}B_{p-a_2}\cdots B_{p-a_k} \pmod{p},$$

$$S_n(p^r) \equiv p^{r-1}\sum d_{a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_k} B_{p-a_1}B_{p-a_2}\cdots B_{p-a_k} \pmod{p^r},$$

here the sum on the right hand side runs over all possible tuples $(a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_k)$ of odd integers such that

$$a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_k=n.$$

For $n \le 6$, this has already been verified from Theorems 1 and 2 and the work of [7, 8]. For $n \ge 7$, we are able to guess what the congruence should look like. For example, the congruences for $n = 8, 9$ should be of the forms

$$S_8(p^r) \equiv ap^{r-1}B_{p-3}B_{p-5} \pmod{p}, \quad a \in \mathbb{Q},$$

$$S_9(p^r) \equiv p^{r-1}\big(b_1B_{p-3}^3 + b_2B_{p-9}\big) \pmod{p^r}, \quad b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

REFERENCES

[1] T. Cai, Z. Shen, and L. Jia, A congruence involving harmonic sums modulo $p^\alpha q^\beta$, preprint, arXiv:1503.02798.

[2] C. Ji, A simple proof of a curious congruence by Zhao, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), 3469–3472.

[3] Z. Shen and T. Cai, Congruences for alternating triple harmonic sums, Acta Math. Sinica, Chinese Series, 55 (4) (2012), 737–748.

[4] Z. Shen and T. Cai, A congruence involving alternating harmonic sums modulo $p^\alpha q^\beta$, preprint, arXiv:1503.03154.

[5] Z. Shen and T. Cai, Super congruences involving alternating harmonic sums modulo prime powers, preprint, arXiv:1503.03156.

[6] L. Wang, A curious congruence involving alternating harmonic sums, J. Combin. Number Theory 6 (2014), 209–214.

[7] L. Wang and T. Cai, A curious congruence modulo prime powers, J. Number Theory 144 (2014), 15–24.

[8] L. Wang, A new curious congruence involving multiple harmonic sums, J. Number Theory 154 (2015), 16–31.

[9] B. Xia and T. Cai, Bernoulli numbers and congruences for harmonic sums, Int. J. Number Theory 06 (2010), 849–855.

[10] J. Zhao, Bernoulli numbers, Wolstenholme's theorem, and p^5 variations of Lucas' theorem, J. Number Theory 123 (2007), 18–26.

[11] J. Zhao, A super congruence involving multiple harmonic sums, preprint, arXiv:1404.3549v2.

[12] X. Zhou and T. Cai, A generalization of a curious congruence on harmonic sums, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), 1329–1333.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE, 119076, SINGAPORE
E-mail address: `wangliuquan@u.nus.edu; mathlqwang@163.com`